

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,817	HALL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(3) _____.

(2) Jian S. Zhou.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 35

Prior art documents discussed:

6,467,903

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is the examiner's opinion that the Back reference patent number 6,467,903 would have made obvious a number of the claims and therefore the change to claims 1, 19, and 35, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, was discussed and agreed upon to further distinguish over the Back reference and place the application in condition for allowance.